

#### International Journal of Fruit Science



ISSN: 1553-8362 (Print) 1553-8621 (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/wsfr20

# Impacts of Elevational Changes and Leaf Maturity Stages on Photoprotective Strategies and Biochemical Traits of Wild Fig [Ficus Carica Subsp. Rupestris (Hausskn)]

#### A. Ranjbar-Fordoei

**To cite this article:** A. Ranjbar-Fordoei (2019): Impacts of Elevational Changes and Leaf Maturity Stages on Photoprotective Strategies and Biochemical Traits of Wild Fig [*Ficus Carica* Subsp. *Rupestris* (Hausskn)], International Journal of Fruit Science, DOI: <u>10.1080/15538362.2019.1673874</u>

To link to this article: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1080/15538362.2019.1673874">https://doi.org/10.1080/15538362.2019.1673874</a>

	Published online: 17 Nov 2019.
	Submit your article to this journal 🗷
<u>lılıl</u>	Article views: 13
a a	View related articles 🗷
CrossMark	View Crossmark data 🗹





## Impacts of Elevational Changes and Leaf Maturity Stages on Photoprotective Strategies and Biochemical Traits of Wild Fig [Ficus Carica Subsp. Rupestris (Hausskn)]

A. Ranjbar-Fordoei

Department of Desert Management and Control, Faculty of Natural Resources and Geoscience, University of Kashan, Kashan, Iran

#### **ABSTRACT**

Three leaf maturity indices were defined as young, mature and senescence leaves. The effect of leaf phenophase resulted in a significant alteration in photosynthetic pigments, whereas site position had no significant effect on them. FPC values significantly increased along leaf phenophase development. TSP showed a sharp descending trend in the final phase of leaf elasticity. On the contrary, the highest TSS value was observed in senescence stage of leaf development. Such a trend was observed for starch content. The results revealed that FPC values significantly decreased along the elevation rise. RWC was significantly affected by both site position change and leaf phenophase factors, whereas ΨL was just significantly affected by leaf phenophase. The obtained results exhibited that leaf phenophase significantly affected six (F<sub>0</sub>, F<sub>m</sub>, F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub>, qP, NPQ, and ΦPSII) out of seven fluorescence variables. Meanwhile, the drastic effect of site position gradient was observed in  $F_0$ ,  $F_v/F_m$ , and qP. Severe decreases in leaf pigment variable values in SL are indicators of damage to chloroplasts due to leaf senescence and reduction of leaf moisture content, depicted through reducing leaf water potential. The FPC and TSS contents exhibited descending trends along site position gradients, which is consistent with the amount of rain and temperature. A remarkable reduction was observed in the values of F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub> and F<sub>m</sub>, ΦPSII and qP, suggesting the sufficiency of photochemistry transformation is affected by leaf cycle development, particularly in senescence stage.

#### **KEYWORDS**

Fluorescence; phenophase; photosystem; photochemistry; pigment; water potential

#### Introduction

Leaf phenology can be defined as the arrangement of leaves in time and space that is considered as one of the main elements in any photosynthesis pathway. Based on this definition, patterns of leaf emergence and leaf longevity are controlled by seasonal alterations in environmental variables such as temperature, light, and soil water availability (Kikuzawa, 1995). Among the above-mentioned variables, water deficit stress due to seasonal drought is the main factor restricting the survival and growth of plants in arid and semiarid



regions (Munné-Bosch et al., 2009). Elevation can climatically affect plant activity by providing a complex of environmental conditions such as low temperatures, potent winds, and low atmospheric pressure (Rangwala and Miller, 2012).

Wild fig or rock-fig tree [Ficus carica subsp. Rupestris (Husskn)] is a deciduous tree belonging to the Moraceae family that grows in mountainous areas and distributes in arid and semiarid regions. This species is tolerant to drought and cold (Oliveira et al., 2012) such that plants produced from cuttings of this species are used as tolerant rootstocks for production of commercial fig trees in Iran.

Common fig can be found both in the native and domestic habitats (Vemmos et al., 2013). Although this species is generally found at mid-elevations, its growth has been reported between 1000 and 2400 m above sea level (m.a.s.l.). Wild fig trees can tolerate a range of habitats, including infertile rocky land, woodland, scrubland, and even hot dry soil (Keshvari et al., 2013). The shoot growth period varies according to the climatic conditions (Lansky et al., 2010). In Iran, the growth of fig trees initiates with bud-burst in early spring, which coincides with the end of March.

Regional and seasonal water deficit in both soil and air is known to change physiological and biochemical processes such as photosynthesis and solute accumulation (Gholami, et al., 2012). In this relation, chlorophyll fluorescence signals have been extensively used for the assessment of several environmental effects on photosynthetic machinery (Naumann et al., 2008; Paunov et al., 2018; Ranjbarfardoei et al., 2000). For example, the decline in efficiency of photosystem II (ΦPSII) and maximum efficiency of PSII (F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub>) and an increase in non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) are promising indicators of the functionality of photosynthetic apparatus of plants (Ranjbar, 2017). In this regard, chlorophyll content is used as a substantial bioindicator of plant vegetation performance under stressed environments. Several reports have shown that drought stress significantly reduces photosynthetic pigments of different native and domestic plants (Gholamin and Khayatnezhad, 2011; Ranjbar, 2015; Ranjbar-Fordoei, 2018). Under drought conditions, plants survival depends on the maintenance of leaf turgor, which may be achieved through the accumulation of solutes in cytoplasms such as proline, soluble carbohydrates, soluble proteins, and ascorbic acid (Queiroz et al., 2016). Among the mentioned solutes, proline acts as a source of energy during recovery from stress (Wang et al., 2007). In addition to the impacts of seasonal drought stress, the responses of plants to alterations in temperature, evaporation, higher levels of radiation, and the partial pressure of carbon dioxide along altitudinal gradients (Bai et al., 2015) have been used to explain the reactions of vegetation, particularly photosynthetic activity, to climatic alterations (Allen and Ort, 2001).

In this study, we aimed to investigate the response of wild fig trees to altitudinal changes and leaf phenotypic plasticity. Field experiments were



carried out with F. carica subsp. Rupestris to study the effects of physiological and biochemical processes under leaf phenophase and elevation variation throughout the local habitat. We hypothesized that typical shift in physiobiochemical performance during leaves phenological elasticity would depend on-site position such that those with strong elevation gradient would experience drastic changes in photosynthetic activity.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### Study Area

The study area is situated in the border of Qom and Kashan cities, the central region of Iran (50° 15′ 21″ - 50° 51′ 1″ N, 34° 14′ 57″ - 34° 17′ 48″ E). The highest and lowest elevations are 3209 and 792 m.a.s.l., respectively. Due to a wide variation of elevation levels, the climate of the study area varies from hyper-arid in desert-marginal areas to semiarid mountainous regions (Azarakhshi et al., 2011). The average rainfall is 135 mm per year, falling mostly in the period from October to April (110 mm). May to November is the dry period, with as little as 25 mm rainfall. Temperature ranges from a minimum of −5°C in the wet season to a maximum of 45°C in the dry season, with low humidity throughout the year (Darabi et al., 2017).

#### **Plant Material**

Ficus carica subsp. Rupestris can tolerate a range of habitats, including infertile rocky land, woodland, scrubland, and even dry soils in vast areas of Iran over an elevation range of 1000-2400 m (Keshvari et al., 2013). We hypothesized that typical shift in physio-biochemical performance during leaves phenological elasticity would depend on-site position experiences a strong elevation gradient. In the absence of elevation gradient, we expected a loss of photosynthetic function related to leaf phenological elasticity.

This experiment was carried out during the growing seasons of 2016–2017 for 2 years. The three leaf maturity indices (leaf phenophases) were defined as follows: Young leaves (YL): through this phase, 10–15% of fruits appear. Mature leaves (ML): in this stage, fig seeds develop. Senescent leaves (SL): within this phase, both female and male fruits disperse.

A site was selected approximately every 500 m in the elevation along a transect from 1000 to 2400 m for a total of three sampling sites, at 1268 m (AL1), 1718 m (AL2), and 2325 (AL3) m.a.s.l. At each site, a total of four plots  $(40 \text{ m} \times 40 \text{ m})$  with five fig-stands in each plot were randomly selected. The precise geographic specifications of the study areas are given in Table 1.

The experimental design was a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with five replicates. In this design, all variables were divided into two groups



<b>Table 1.</b> Geographical coordinates of the selected sits
---

A. No	Sampling site	Elevation (m)	Region	Geographical coordinate	Habitat
1.	Yāhyâ-âbâd	AL1	Âb-shirin	51°12′19″N-34°15′17″E	Shrubland northern slopes
2.	Boambâ	AL2	Bire-ghoon	50°57′38′N′-34°22′18″E	Scrubland
3.	Dāre-Gāz	AL3	Fordov	50°51′39′′N-34°17′43′′E	Rocky walls

namely dependent and independent variables. Three leaf-maturity phases (YL, ML, and SL simultaneous with April, July and end of September, respectively) and sites positions (three altitudinal levels, approximately 1268, 1718 and 2325 m.a.s.l., respectively) were considered as independent variables. Since positions of the sites were completely separated, measurement of fluorescence parameters and leaf sampling were performed in accordance with leaf phenological phases (phenophases) (YL, ML, and SL).

#### **Chlorophyll Fluorescence Analysis**

The chlorophyll fluorescent variables were measured using a pulse amplitude modulation fluorometer (PAM-2500, Walz, Effeltrich, Germany). Base fluorescence (F<sub>0</sub>) and maximum fluorescence (F<sub>m</sub>) were measured in 30 min darkadapted leaves. Afterward, the same leaves were light-saturated followed by measuring the steady fluorescence  $(F_s)$ , base fluorescence  $(F'_0)$ , and maximum fluorescence (F'm). Based on the measured fluorescent parameters, some basic fluorescence variables like variable fluorescence (F<sub>v</sub>), F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub>, ΦPII, qP, and NPQ can be calculated. These variables give insight into the photosynthetic apparatus in chloroplasts (Ranjbar Fordoei et al., 2006).

#### **Biochemical Analyses**

#### **Foliar Photosynthetic Pigments**

Chlorophylls and carotenoids were extracted from leaf discs in 80% acetone, (Arnon, 1949). The chlorophyll and carotenoid contents were determined by spectrophotometry according to Gholami, et al. (2012). Following equations were used for the calculation of the concentration of total chlorophyll and carotenoid [mg  $g^{-1}$  fresh weight (FW)] in the leaf pigments:

```
Chl. a = 12.25A_{663} - 2.79A_{645}
Chl. b = 21.50A_{645} - 5.10A_{663}
TCC = 7.15A_{663} - 18.71A_{645}
Car = (1000A470 - 1.82Ca - 85.02Cb)/198
```

Where: Chl. a = chlorophyll a; Chl. b = chlorophyll b; TCC = total chlorophyll;

Car = carotenoid; A = absorbance spectrum (nm).

*Free proline content (FPC)* was quantified using the method of Bates et al. (1973) based on the reaction of proline with ninhydrin and glacial acetic acid. The supernatant was read at 520 nm. Results were expressed in M moles of proline per gram of leaf fresh mass.

Total soluble sugar (TSS) was determined using the Anthrone method (Salehi et al., 2016). In this procedure, 0.5 g of fresh leaf was mixed with 10 mL distilled water. The sample was heated at 100°C for 1 h and then filtered. Reaction mixture contained 0.5 mL extracts, 0.5 mL mixed reagent (1 g anthrone + 50 mL ethyl acetate), 5 mL H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (98%), and 1.5 mL distilled water. The mixture was heated at 100°C for 1 min and absorbance was read at 630 nm. Sucrose solutions were used as standard samples. Results were expressed in M moles of proline per gram of leaf fresh mass.

#### Starch Content

The solid residue remaining after removal of all soluble sugars was washed reextracted and re-centrifuged four times using an 80% (V/V) ethanol. Starch content in the samples was determined calorimetrically using the anthrone method (Mc Cready et al., 1950). The absorbance was read at 630 nm as described by López et al. (2002).

#### **Total Soluble Proteins (TSP)**

Leaf tissues were pulverized in liquid nitrogen and mixed with 2 ml of ice-cold 0.02 M Tris-HCl (pH7.5). The homogenate was centrifuged for 10 min and the supernatant was used to determine TSP by the method of Bradford (1976).

#### **Measurement of Leaf Water Status**

Predawn leaf water potential  $(\Psi_{\rm I})$  was measured before the daybreak. Within this time, leaf water potential is in balance with the water potential of the soil. Field measurements were performed using a WP4 dew-point potentiometer (Decagon Devices Inc. USA). Values were determined in leaves close to the same leaves that were applied for the chlorophyll fluorescence monitoring.

#### Statistical Analysis

Data analysis was carried out using analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedure. The comparisons of means were examined with least significant difference (LSD). The SAS software version 9.4 was used for all the analyses.



#### Results

#### Changes in Photosynthetic Pigments Variables along Altitudinal Gradients and Leaf Phenophase Alterations

The ANOVA results related to elevation gradient and leaf phenophase are presented in Table 2. Also, independent and interaction impacts of the mentioned factors are depicted in Table 3, 4, and 5, respectively.

Leaf Chl. a Chl. b, TCC, and Car concentration of F. carica subsp. Rupestris trees exhibited no significant change in the leaves along the elevation increases.

The effect of leaf phenophase resulted in a significant alteration in photosynthetic pigments (P < .05), which were observed in matured and senescence leaves phenophase (Table 3). In contrast to the changes in Chl. a, Chl. b, and TCC concentrations, the Car content significantly increased with an increase in leaf phenologic elasticity, so that the lowest (0.266) and highest (0.348) values were observed in young and senescence phases, respectively. The significant impact of leaf development stage on TCC/Car was just observed in the final phase of leaf elasticity (Table 4).

Table 2. Combined analysis of variance results for the impact of site elevation and leaf phenophase on photosynthetic pigment traits in leaves of F. carica subsp. Rupestris.

				MSS		
source	df	Chl.a	Chl.b	TCC	Car	TCC/Car
Site position (S)	2	0.0002	0.00004	0.0006	0.000017	0.0003
Phenophase (P)	2	0.647*	0.502*	1.037*	0.059*	0.17*
$S \times P$	4	0.00008	0.00005	0.00002	0.00003	0.00008
CV		4.73	11.46	5.02	7.18	7.480

<sup>\*</sup>Significantly different at P < 0.001.

Table 3. Comparison of different photosynthetic pigments through various elevation levels indices in leaves of F. carica subsp. Rupestris.

			Mean		
site position	Chl. a	Chl. <i>b</i>	TCC	Car	TCC/Car
AL1	0.963a	0.273a	1.224a	0.281a	0.244a
AL2	0.956a	0.270a	1.226a	0.279a	0.242a
AL3	0.957a	0.272a	1.236a	0.275a	0.236a

Different letters in the table characterize significant difference among the site position indices.

Table 4. Comparison of different photosynthetic pigments through leaf phenophases in leaves of F. carica subsp. Rupestris.

			Mean		
Leaf phenophase	Chl. a	Chl. <i>b</i>	TCC	Car	TCC/Car
YL	0.973b	0.281b	1.254b	0.226a	0.181b
ML	1.139a	0.325a	1.478a	0.261b	0.177b
SL	0.744c	0.210c	0.954c	0.348c	0.365a

Different letters in the table characterize significant difference among the phenophase indices.



No significant difference was found for the effect of site position and its interaction with leaf phenophase on pigments concentration of leaves (Table 5).

#### Changes in Biochemical Variables along Altitudinal Gradients and Leaf **Phenophase Alterations**

The ANOVA results revealed that leaf phenophase, elevation gradient, and their interaction (S  $\times$  P) significantly (P < .05) affected biochemical variables (i.e., FPC, TSS, TSP, and starch). The differences in the response of the variables to the selected factors indicate that each factor and their interaction have a different impact on the biochemical parameters.

For instance, all of the biochemical parameters significantly changed along leaf phenophase development whereas the effects of the elevation gradient and its interaction with leaf phenophase were significant for FPC and TSS (Table 6).

Based on Table 7, FPC values significantly increased along leaf phenophase development. This variable achieved the highest value (8.741) at senescence phase. A steadily significant ascending trend was observed in this variable from the first stage of leaf phenophase to the second stage, and then the highest value was observed in senescence leaf phenophase. TSP showed a non-significant ascending trend from young phase to a mature phase, and then a sharp descending trend appeared in the final phase of leaf elasticity with the lowest TSP value (0.233). On the contrary, the highest TSS value (21.68) was observed in senescence stage of leaf development.

The starch content significantly decreased with an increase in the development of leaf elasticity. The lowest value (69) for this variable was observed in senescence leaves (Table 7).

Data presented in Table 8 revealed that FPC values significantly decreased along the elevation rise. This variable achieved the lowest value (7.043) at AL3. An effective reduction of TSS was observed at AL2 and reached the lowest value (16.17) at AL3.

Table 5. Comparison of different photosynthetic pigments through interaction effects of site position and leaf phenophase indices (S  $\times$  P) in leaves of F. carica subsp. Rupestris.

				Mean		
Site position (S)	Phenophase (P)	Chl. a	Chl. b	TCC	Car	TCC/Car
AL1	YL	0.97b	0.28c	1.25b	0.23c	0.184b
	ML	1.16a	0.33a	1.47a	0.26b	0.178b
	SL	0.74c	0.21d	0.95c	0.35a	0.371a
AL2	YL	0.97b	0.28c	1.25b	0.23c	0.181b
	ML	1.16a	0.32a	1.48a	0.26b	0.176b
	SL	0.74c	0.21d	0.95c	0.35a	0.369a
AL3	YL	0.98b	0.28c	1.26b	0.22c	0.177b
	ML	1.16a	0.32a	1.48a	0.26b	0.176b
	SL	0.75c	0.21d	0.96c	0.34a	0.355a

Different letters in the table characterize significant difference among the  $S \times P$  indices.

Table 6. Combined analysis of variance results for impact of site position and leaf phenophase
on biochemical traits in leaves of <i>F. carica</i> subsp. <i>Rupestris</i> .

			MSS				
source	df	FPC	TSP	TSS	Starch		
Site position (S)	2	0.53*	0.0004	2.352*	134.82		
Phenophase (P)	2	26.53*	0.055*	303.719*	9997.36*		
$S \times P$	4	0.96*	0.00003	0.505*	23.06		
CV		1.26	13.35	1.75	6.39		

<sup>\*</sup>Significantly different at P < 0.01.

**Table 7.** Comparison of different biochemical variables through leaf phenophases in leaves of *F. carica* subsp. *Rupestris*.

	Mean				
Leaf phenophase	FPC	TSP	TSS	Starch	
YL	6.353c	0.324a	13.919b	105.73b	
ML	6.533b	0.347a	13.859b	118.73a	
SL	8.710a	0.233b	21.683a	96.00c	

Different letters in the table characterize significant difference among the phenophase indices.

**Table 8.** Comparison of different biochemical variables through site positions in leaves of *F. carica* subsp. *Rupestris*.

		Mean				
Site position	FPC	TSP	TSS	Starch		
AL1	7.413a	0.306a	16.933a	101.27a		
AL2	7.171b	0.302a	16.352b	95.80a		
AL3	7.043c	0.295a	16.176b	96.40a		

Different letters in the table characterize significant difference among the site position indices

TSP and starch revealed no significant difference through variation in site elevation (Table 8).

The interaction between leaf phenophase and site position was significant for FPC and TSS (Table 6). The highest TSS and FPC values were recorded in AL1 and senescence leaf phase. In addition, the lowest values of these two variables were observed in AL3, matured, and young phases of leaves development, respectively.

No significant difference was observed in TPS and Starch values through interaction effects of various site positions and leaf phenophase indices ( $S \times P$ ) (Table 9).

### Changes in Leaf Water Status along Altitudinal Gradients and Leaf Phenophase Alterations

The ANOVA results showed that RWC significantly was affected by both site position change and leaf phenological elasticity factors, whereas ΨL was just significantly affected through leaf phenophase (Table 10).



Table 9. Comparison of different biochemical variables through interaction effects of site posi-
tion and leaf phenophase indices ( $S \times P$ ) in leaves of <i>F. carica</i> subsp. <i>Rupestris</i> .

		Mean					
Site position	Leaf phenophase	FPC	TSP	TSS	Starch		
AL1	YL	6.446 <sup>e</sup>	0.330 <sup>a</sup>	13.970 <sup>d</sup>	111.b <sup>c</sup>		
	ML	6.670 <sup>d</sup>	0.350 <sup>a</sup>	14.406 <sup>c</sup>	120.2 <sup>a</sup>		
	SL	9.124 <sup>a</sup>	0.238 <sup>b</sup>	22.422 <sup>a</sup>	72.6 <sup>e</sup>		
AL2	YL	6.380 <sup>e</sup>	0.322 <sup>a</sup>	13.906 <sup>d</sup>	104.0 <sup>c</sup>		
	ML	6.496 <sup>e</sup>	0.350 <sup>a</sup>	13.664d <sup>e</sup>	116.2 <sup>a</sup>		
	SL	8.638 <sup>b</sup>	0.234 <sup>b</sup>	21.486 <sup>b</sup>	67.2 <sup>e</sup>		
AL3	YL	6.234 <sup>f</sup>	0.320 <sup>a</sup>	13.880 <sup>de</sup>	102.2 <sup>d</sup>		
	ML	6.434 <sup>e</sup>	0. 340 <sup>a</sup>	13.508 <sup>e</sup>	119.8 <sup>a</sup>		
	SL	8.462 <sup>c</sup>	0.226 <sup>b</sup>	21.140 <sup>b</sup>	67.2 <sup>e</sup>		

Different letters in the table characterize significant difference among the  $S \times P$  indices.

Table 10. Combined analysis of variance results for impact of site position and leaf phenophase on YL and RWC in leaves of F. carica subsp. Rupestris.

		MS	S
Source	df	ΨL	RWC
Site position (S)	2	0.00009	7.2*
Leaf phenophase (P)	2	3.54*	736.86*
$S \times P$	4	0.0001	0.64
CV		-4.02	1.32

<sup>\*</sup>Significantly different at P < 0.01.

As Table 11 shows, YL changed along site position gradient, but RWC increased gradually across position changing, with a significant increase in the AL3. A marked difference in RWC was not observed between AL1 and AL2. This variable was highest at AL3 and vice versa.

As shown in Table 12, both variables are significantly affected through leaf phenophase and thus exhibited a descending trend along leaf phenophase development.

YL decreased significantly from young phase of leaves to the senescence phase and reached its lowest value. A similar trend was observed in RWC with developing leaf phenophase. This variable was highest (78.35%) in young phase and lowest (64.57%) in the senescence phase.

Table 11. Comparison of ΨL and RWC through various site positions in leaves of F. carica subsp. Rupestris.

	Me	an
Site position	ΨL (MPa)	RWC (%)
AL1	-1.907 <sup>a</sup>	71.28 <sup>b</sup>
AL2	$-1.902^{a}$	71.78 <sup>b</sup>
AL3	$-1.905^{a}$	72.65 <sup>a</sup>

Different letters in the table characterize significant difference among the site position indices.



Table 12. Comparison of ΨL and RWC through various leaf phenophase stages in leaves of F. carica subsp. Rupestris.

	Me	an
Leaf phenophase	ΨL (MPa)	RWC (%)
YL	-1.474 <sup>a</sup>	78.533 <sup>a</sup>
ML	-1.809 <sup>b</sup>	72.613 <sup>b</sup>
SL	-2.431 <sup>c</sup>	64.569 <sup>c</sup>

Different letters in the table characterize significant difference among the leaf phenophase indices.

The interaction between leaf phenophase and elevation gradient was significant for YL and RWC (Table 13). The highest YL and RWC values were recorded in the young phase of leaf development. A gradual increase in RWC was observed with increasing elevation. The highest value (79.55) of this variable was recorded at AL3 and in the young phase of leaf development. This trend was accompanied for the decrease in YL value by the development of leaf phenophase.

The highest (-1.47 MPa) and lowest (-2.43 MPa) values were related to the young and senescence stages of leaf phenophase, respectively. There was no significant difference in ΨL values among various site positions (Table 13).

#### Changes in Fluorescence Variables along Elevational Gradients and Leaf Phenophase Alterations

The ANOVA results exhibited that leaf phenophase significantly affected six  $(F_0, F_m, F_v/F_m, qP, NPQ, and \Phi PSII)$  out of seven fluorescence variables. In addition, the drastic effect of site position gradient was observed in F<sub>0</sub>, F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub>, and qP variables (Table 14).

Table 13. Comparison of leaf water potential (ΨL) and (RWC %) through interaction effects of site position and leaf phenophase indices (S  $\times$  P) in leaves of F. carica subsp. Rupestris.

		Me	an
Site position	Leaf phenophase	ΨL (MPa)	RWC (%)
AL1	YL	-1.48 <sup>a</sup>	77.44 <sup>b</sup>
	ML	-1.81 <sup>b</sup>	72.17 <sup>c</sup>
	SL	-2.43 <sup>c</sup>	64.23 <sup>d</sup>
AL2	YL	-1.47 <sup>a</sup>	78.61a <sup>b</sup>
	ML	-1.80 <sup>b</sup>	72.39 <sup>c</sup>
	SL	-2.43 <sup>c</sup>	64.36 <sup>d</sup>
AL3	YL	-1.47 <sup>a</sup>	79.55 <sup>a</sup>
	ML	-1.81 <sup>b</sup>	73.28 <sup>c</sup>
	SL	-2.43 <sup>c</sup>	65.12 <sup>d</sup>

Different letters in the table characterize significant difference among the S imesP indices.



Table 14. Combined analysis of variance results for impact of site position and leaf phenophase
on chlorophyll a fluorescence traits in leaves of F. carica subsp. Rupestris.

			MSS						
source	df	F <sub>0</sub>	F <sub>m</sub>	$F_v/F_m$	$F_m/F_0$	qΡ	NPQ	ΦPSII	
Site position (S)	2	1943.89**	99.09	0.001**	0.00002	0.004**	0.005	0.0005	
Phenophase (P)	2	59475.56**	728637.1**	0.081**	0.0029	0.129**	1.7488**	1.07*	
$S \times P$	4	1310.56**	235.16	0.0006**	0.008	0.0007	0.002	0.011	
CV		2.42	0.58	0.9	30.29	3.33	9.81	18.91	

Significantly different at \*\*P < 0.01, \*P < 0.05

For F<sub>0</sub> and F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub>, a significant interaction between site position and leaf phenophase was observed.

Regarding the data depicted in Table 15, F<sub>0</sub> and NPQ variables showed an ascending trend in all leaf phenological stages. For, both variables, the lowest value was initiated in YL and reached the highest value in SL (446.67 and 1.547, respectively).

On the contrary, F<sub>m</sub>, F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub>, qP, and ΦPSII variables showed a descending trend with a development in leaf phenophase with the highest and the lowest values in YL and SL phases, respectively. There was no significant difference in  $F_m/F_0$  values among the various phenological phases (Table 15).

In the current study, a decrease in the qP was observed in response to the summer drought stress and development of phenological phases, indicating that a large section of the PSII reaction centers was damaged. It also indicated that the balance between excitation rate and electron transfer rate had collapsed.

A drastic decrease in F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub> and increase in F<sub>0</sub> indicate the occurrence of damage to photosystem II, which reduces the efficiency of absorbed light energy transfer from the light-harvesting complex.

Table 15. Comparison of different fluorescence variables through leaf phenophases in leaves of F. carica subsp. Rupestris.

Mean									
Leaf phenophase	F <sub>0</sub>	$F_{m}$	$F_v/F_m$	$F_m/F_0$	qΡ	NPQ	ФРЅІІ		
YL	321.33 <sup>c</sup>	1820.72 <sup>a</sup>	0.822 <sup>a</sup>	0.218 <sup>a</sup>	0.544 <sup>a</sup>	0.915 <sup>c</sup>	0.502 <sup>a</sup>		
ML	373.33 <sup>b</sup>	1779.07 <sup>b</sup>	0.786 <sup>b</sup>	$0.238^{a}$	0.513 <sup>a</sup>	1.013 <sup>b</sup>	0.467 <sup>b</sup>		
SL	446.67 <sup>a</sup>	1419.87 <sup>c</sup>	0.681 <sup>c</sup>	0.244 <sup>a</sup>	0.370 <sup>c</sup>	1.547 <sup>a</sup>	0.454 <sup>b</sup>		

Different letters in the table characterize significant difference among the phenophase indices.

Table 16. Comparison of different fluorescence variables through sit positions in leaves of F. carica subsp. Rupestris.

		Mean						
Site position	F <sub>0</sub>	$F_{m}$	$F_v/F_m$	$F_m/F_0$	qΡ	NPQ	ΦPSII	
AL1	390.3 <sup>a</sup>	1672.5 <sup>a</sup>	0.75 <sup>b</sup>	0.234 <sup>a</sup>	0.464 <sup>b</sup>	1.164 <sup>a</sup>	0.472 <sup>a</sup>	
AL2	383.0 <sup>b</sup>	1676.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.76 <sup>b</sup>	0.234 <sup>a</sup>	0.469 <sup>b</sup>	1.172 <sup>a</sup>	$0.483^{a}$	
AL3	368.0 <sup>c</sup>	1671.0 <sup>a</sup>	0.77 <sup>a</sup>	0.232 <sup>a</sup>	0.493 <sup>a</sup>	1.139 <sup>a</sup>	0.476 <sup>a</sup>	

Different letters in the table characterize significant difference among the site position indices.

The results demonstrated  $F_0$ ,  $F_v/F_m$ , and qP variations were significantly associated with elevation gradient with the highest and lowest values in AL1 and AL3 (respectively), but F<sub>0</sub> exhibited a descending trend against elevation variation. On the contrary, qP and F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub> values increased with an increase in the elevation of site position. A drastic effect of site position was not observed in F<sub>m</sub>, F<sub>m</sub>/F<sub>0</sub>, NPQ, and ΦPSII variables.

Based on Tables 16 and 17, the significant  $S \times P$  interaction indicates that the response of both F<sub>0</sub> and F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub> variables to site position gradient differed among the leaf phenological phases. As shown in Table 17, the F<sub>0</sub> drastically decreased in response to developing phenological phase and increasing the elevation level so that the highest (477) and lowest (371) values were found in SL and AL3, respectively. On the contrary, F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub> value decreased with developing the leaf phenophase with an elevation gradient.

The highest (0.82) and lowest (0.66) values for  $F_v/F_m$  were obtained in the first (YL) and third (SL) phases of leaf development and in AL3, respectively. Mean comparing values of interaction between phenological amplitudes and site position range revealed no significant differences in F<sub>m</sub>, F<sub>m</sub>/F<sub>0</sub>, qP, NPQ, and ΦPSII (Table 17).

#### Discussion

The lack of a significant response of the photosynthetic pigments to elevation gradient (Table 3) might affect maintaining a high photosynthetic capability at high elevations (Shi et al., 2006). In this case, our results are in agreement with findings of Li et al. (2013) on some woody species.

However, the drastic effect of leaf phenological amplitude on photosynthetic pigments was noticed. The increase in Chl. a, Chl. b, and TCC values in ML suggests that young leaves were not matured while the structure of mesophyll has fully progressed with high pigment content in mature leaves (Pramod et al., 2015). Severe decreases in the mentioned variables in SL are

Table 17. Comparison of fluorescence variables through interaction effects of site position and leaf phenophase indices (S  $\times$  P) in *F. carica* subsp. *Rupestris* trees.

		Mean						
Site position	Leaf phenophase	$F_0$	$F_{m}$	$F_v/F_m$	$F_m/F_0$	qΡ	NPQ	ΦPSII
AL1	YL	321 <sup>e</sup>	1816 <sup>a</sup>	0.82 <sup>a</sup>	0.189 <sup>a</sup>	0.534 <sup>bc</sup>	0.916 <sup>b</sup>	0.527 <sup>a</sup>
	ML	372 <sup>d</sup>	1781 <sup>b</sup>	0.79 <sup>bc</sup>	$0.254^{a}$	0.510 <sup>d</sup>	1.04 <sup>b</sup>	0.434 <sup>a</sup>
	SL	477 <sup>a</sup>	1420 <sup>cd</sup>	0. 70 <sup>d</sup>	$0.258^{a}$	0.348 <sup>f</sup>	1.536 <sup>a</sup>	0.456 <sup>a</sup>
AL2	YL	326 <sup>e</sup>	1823 <sup>a</sup>	$0.82^{a}$	0.267 <sup>a</sup>	0.542 <sup>ab</sup>	0.918 <sup>b</sup>	0.451 <sup>a</sup>
	ML	378 <sup>d</sup>	1776 <sup>b</sup>	0.78 <sup>c</sup>	0.231 <sup>a</sup>	0.506 <sup>d</sup>	1.02 <sup>b</sup>	0.494 <sup>a</sup>
	SL	445 <sup>b</sup>	1425 <sup>d</sup>	0.68 <sup>e</sup>	$0.204^{a}$	0.360 <sup>f</sup>	1.58 <sup>a</sup>	0.505 <sup>a</sup>
AL3	YL	317 <sup>e</sup>	1823 <sup>a</sup>	0.82 <sup>a</sup>	$0.198^{a}$	0.556 <sup>a</sup>	0.91 <sup>b</sup>	0.527 <sup>a</sup>
	ML	369 <sup>d</sup>	1780 <sup>b</sup>	0.79 <sup>b</sup>	$0.229^{a}$	0.522 <sup>cd</sup>	0.98 <sup>b</sup>	0.473 <sup>a</sup>
	SL	418 <sup>c</sup>	1410 <sup>d</sup>	0.66 <sup>f</sup>	0.271 <sup>a</sup>	0.402 <sup>e</sup>	1.53 <sup>a</sup>	0.429 <sup>a</sup>

Different letters in the table characterize significant difference among the  $S \times P$  indices.



indicators of damage to chloroplasts due to leaf senescence and reduction of leaf moisture content, depicted through reducing leaf water potential (Wang et al., 2014). Our results on Car content are in agreement with findings of Field and Mooney, (1983), who reported, with the initiation of leaf senescence, chlorophylls (a and b) are degraded, giving leaves dark-green color and carotenoids are increased and appeared in the senescent leaves. In SL phase, a drastic increase in the TCC/Car ratio was observed that might be attributed to the fast chlorophyll degradation in response to abiotic stresses as water stress deficiency (Lee et al., 2003).

FPC and TSS are reducing agents that play effective roles in controlling osmotic adjustments in plants suffering from abiotic stress, which can be induced directly or indirectly by various environmental factors (Manivannan et al., 2007). The FPC and TSS contents of F. carica subsp. Rupestris were affected by elevation and exhibited descending trends along elevation gradient from low to high elevation. This trend is consistent with the amount of rain and temperature. Thus, with decreasing elevation level, ambient temperature and the evapotranspiration rates increase. That is why the highest values of both variables appeared at AL1. An increase in soluble sugar contents in plants can be attributed to the role of this osmolyte as a defense mechanism and maintenance osmotic adjustment (Bartels and Sunkar, 2005; Manivannan et al., 2007). Our results were consistent with the findings of Cui et al. (2018) on Leymus secalinus.

Regarding the data presented in Table 7, the highest values of FPC and TSS were observed in the last step of leaf development while, on the contrary, the lowest values of TSP and starch appeared in the same leaf phenophase-step. Several reports have shown an increase in the FPC and TSS values along the phenological phase changing, particularly the onset of senescence (Bandurska, 2000; Paul and Pellny, 2003; Yuanyuan et al., 2009). The accumulation of TSS and reduction of starch is associated with the hydrolysis of starch and its reduction during aging (Lim et al., 2007). Our results were consistent with the findings of Wehner et al. (2015) on barley.

The highest value of RWC% was observed in AL3 that may due to lower temperature and consequently lower evapotranspiration rate than in AL1 and AL2. As Table 12 exhibited, both leaf water status variables were drastically affected by leaf aging. The association of leaf phenological stages with environmental conditions such as water deficit may suggest that leaf aging through changes in internal leaf structure decrease values of the leaf water status variables (YL and RWC) and elasticity of cell walls. Another possibility for the lower YL observed in senescence leaves can be ascribed to down-regulation or inactivation of aquaporin channels in living cells (Locke and Ort, 2014).

The data presented in Table 15 exhibit a substantial change in PSII activity, reflected by fluorescence variables. F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub> was affected drastically through leaf phenophase, particularly in the last stage of leaf cycle

(senescence), which was previously reported by Zhao et al. (2014) in Lotus corniculatus and Ranjbar (2017) in Pistacia vera. Any reduction in F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub> values can be ascribed to damage in photosynthetic apparatus, indicating more energy dissipated as heat (Hazrati et al., 2016). Our results also showed significant changes in F<sub>0</sub> and in F<sub>m</sub> during leaf phenophases, particularly in senescence phase. The greatest and lowest values of F<sub>0</sub> and F<sub>m</sub> were observed in senescent leaves, which represent the dysfunction of PSII reaction centers. A drastic decrease in F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub>, F<sub>m</sub>, and increase in F<sub>0</sub> can be attributed to a reduction in the efficiency of absorbed light energy transfer from the light-harvesting complex (Maxwell and Johnson, 2000). The decrease in F<sub>m</sub> values through leaf cycle development confirm the results of Müller et al. (2001), who reported that low levels of Fm can be associated with increased non-photochemical dissipation and with the xanthophyll cycle. A significant increase in NPQ was observed in response to leaf phenophase development. This fluorescence parameter reflects the thermal dissipation of excess excitation energy in the chloroplasts and has a link with F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub> (Girma, 2016). Our results agree with those of Alves et al. (2013), who reported a significant increase in NPQ in response to drought stress. Remarkable reduction values of ΦPSII and qP (Table 15) suggest that the sufficiency of photochemistry transformation and electron flow are affected by leaf cycle development, particularly in senescence stage (Ranjbar-Fordoei, 2018). A reduction in ΦPSII value can be attributed directly or indirectly to leaf senescence, which plays an important role in photosynthesis response (Atlassi et al., 2009). A decrease in qP, due to the extension of leaf phenophase, indicates that a large section of PSII reaction system was damaged (Ranjbar-Fordoei, 2018).

#### **Conclusion**

The obtained results showed that leaf phenophase progress resulted in a significant alteration in chlorophyll fluorescence parameters, RWC, ΨL, TSP, FPC, and TSS wild fig plants. These resulted indicated that the examined plants responded to leaf phenological elasticity through changing physiological and biochemical parameters. Also, the FPC and TSS contents were affected by site elevation, exhibiting descending trends along elevation gradient from low to high elevation. This trend is consistent with the amount of rain and temperature. Thus, with decreasing elevation level, ambient temperature and the evapotranspiration rates increase. Generally, the manifested alterations were mainly associated with leaf senescence and reduction of leaf water potential.



#### **Acknowledgments**

This research was supported by the University of Kashan.

#### **Funding**

This research was supported by the University of Kashan (Grant number: 507675).

#### References

- Allen, D.J., and D.R. Ort. 2001. Impacts of chilling temperatures on photosynthesis in warm-climate plants. Trends Plant Sci. 6:36-42. doi: 10.1016/S1360-1385(00)01808-2.
- Alves, F., J. Costa, P. Costa, C. Correia, B. Gonçalves, R. Soares, and J.M. Pereira 2013. Grapevine water stress management in Douro Region: Long-term physiology, yield and quality studies in cv. Touriga Nacional. Group of International Experts of Vitivinicultural Systems for Co-Operation (ed.). Proceeding 18th International Symposium GiESCO, July 2013, Porto, Portugal.
- Arnon, D.I. 1949. Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts (phytophenoloxidase), in Beta vulgaris. J. Plant Physiol. 24:1–15. doi: 10.1104/pp.24.1.1.
- Atlassi, V., M. Nabipour, and M. Meskarbashee. 2009. Effects of salt stress on chlorophyll content, fluorescence, Na+ and K+ ions content in rape plants (Brassica napus L.). Asian J. Agric. Res. 3(2):28-37. doi: 10.3923/ajar.2009.28.37.
- Azarakhshi, M., M. Mahdavi, H. Arzani, and H. Ahmadi. 2011. Assessment of the Palmer drought severity index in arid and semiarid rangeland: (Case study: Qom province, Iran). Desert. 16:77-85. doi: 10.22059/jdesert.2012.24739.
- Bai, Y.J., Q. Chen, P.S. Ranhotra, Q. Wang, Y.F. Wang, and C.S. Li. 2015. Reconstructing atmospheric CO2 during the Plio-Pleistocene transition by fossil Typha. Glob. Chang. Biol. 21:874-881. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12670.
- Bandurska, H. 2000. Does proline accumulated in leaves of water deficit stressed barley plants confine cell membrane injury? I. Free proline accumulation and membrane injury index in drought and osmotically stressed plants. Acta Physiol. Plant. 22(4):409-415. doi: 10.1007/ s11738-000-0081-7.
- Bartels, D., and R. Sunkar. 2005. Drought and salt tolerance in plants. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 24 (1):23-58. doi: 10.1080/07352680590910410.
- Bates, L.S., R.P. Waldren, and L.D. Teare. 1973. Rapid determination of free proline for water stress studies. Plant Soil. 39:205-207. doi: 10.1007/BF00018060.
- Bradford, M.M. 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal. Biochem. 72:248-254. doi: 10.1006/abio.1976.9999.
- Cui, G., B. Li, W. He, X. Yin, S. Liu, L. Lian, Y. Zhang, W. Liang, and P. Zhang. 2018. Physiological analysis of the effect of altitudinal gradients on Leymus secalinus on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. PLoS ONE 13(9):1-13. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0202881.
- Darabi, H., A. Jafari, and K. Akhavan. 2017. Analysis trend of climatica changes in Qom province and its consequences. J. Environ. Sci. Stud. 1 (2):25–40. [in Persian].
- Field, C., and H.A. Mooney. 1983. Leaf age and seasonal effects on light, water, and nitrogen use efficiency in a california shrub. Oecologia 56(2-3):348-355. doi:10.1007/BF00379711.



- Gholami, M., M. Rahemi, B. Kholdebarin, and S. Rastegar. 2012. Biochemical responses in leaves of four fig cultivars subjected to water stress and recovery. Sci. Hortic. 148:109-117. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2012.09.005.
- Gholamin, R., and M. Khayatnezhad. 2011. The effect of end season drought stress on the chlorophyll content, chlorophyll fluorescence parameters and yield in maize cultivars. Sci. Res. Essay. 6:5351-5357. doi: 10.5897/SRE11.914.
- Girma, H., A. Catalina, M. González, and P. Martin. 2016. Relationships between water status. Leaf Chlorophyll Content and Photosynthetic Performance in Tempranillo Vineyards. S. Afr. J. Enol. Vitic. 37(2):149-156.
- Hazrati, S., S.Z. Tahmasebi, S.A.M. Modarres, B. Mokhtassi, and S. Nicola. 2016. Effects of water stress and light intensity on chlorophyll fluorescence parameters and pigments of Aloe vera L. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 106:141-148. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.04.046.
- Keshvari, M., Z.A. Roozbahani, F. Azizi, S. Belgheisi, S. Atai, and N. Abdali. 2013. Morphological study on *Ficus* genus in the west part of Iran. Ann. Biol. Res. 12(4):155–162.
- Kikuzawa, K. 1995. Leaf phenology as an optimal strategy for carbon gain in plants. Can. J. Bot. 73:158–163. doi: 10.1139/b95-019.
- Lansky, E.P., H.M. Paavilainen, A.D. Pawlus, and R.A. Newman. 2010. Ficus spp. Ficus. CRC Press, Taylor and Francis, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida, USA, 383.
- Lee, D.W., J. O'Keefe, N.M. Holbrook, and T.S. Feild. 2003. Pigment dynamics and autumn leaf senescence in a New England deciduous forest, eastern USA. Ecol. Res. 18:677-694. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1703.2003.00588.x.
- Li, Y., D. Yang, S. Xiang, and G. Li. 2013. Different responses in leaf pigments and leaf mass per area to elevation between evergreen and deciduous woody species. Aust. J. Bot. 61:424-435. doi: 10.1071/BT13022.
- Lim, P.O., H.J. Kim, and H.G. Nam. 2007. Leaf senescence. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 58:115-136. doi: 10.1146/1nnurev.1rpl1nt.57.032905.105316.
- Locke, A.M., and D.R. Ort. 2014. Leaf hydraulic conductance declines in coordination with photosynthesis, transpiration and leaf water status as soybean leaves age regardless of soil moisture. J. Exp. Bot. 65(22):6617-6627. doi: 10.1039/jxb/eru3800.
- López, S., J.V. Maroto, A.S. Bautista, B. Pascual, and J. Alagarda. 2002. Differences in carbohydrate content of waiting-bed strawberry plants during development in the nursery. Sci. Horti. 94:53-62. doi: 10.1016/S0304-4238(01)00359-4.
- Manivannan, P., C. Jaleel, B. Sankar, A. Kishorekumar, R. Somasundaram, and G. Lakshmanan. 2007. Growth, biochemical modifications and proline metabolism in Helianthus annuus L. as induced by drought stress. Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces. 59:141-149. PMID: 17560769 . doi: 10.1016/j.colsurfb.2007.05.002.
- Maxwell, K., and G.N. Johnson. 2000. Chlorophyll fluorescence, a practical guide. J. Exp. Bot. 51:659-668. doi: 10.1093/jexbot/51.345.659.
- Mc Cready, R.M., J. Guggolz, V. Silviera, and H.S. Owens. 1950. Determination of starch and amylose in vegetables. Anal. Chem. 22(9):1156-1158. doi: 10.1021/ac60045a016.
- Müller, P., X.P. Li, and K.K. Niyogi. 2001. Non-photochemical quenching. A response to excess light energy. Plant Physiol. 125(4):1558-1566. doi: 10.1104/pp.125.4.1558.
- Munné-Bosch, S., V. Falara, I. Pateraki, M. López-Carbonell, J. Cela, and A.K. Kanellis. 2009. Physiological and molecular responses of the isoprenoid biosynthetic pathway in a drought-resistant Mediterranean shrub, Cistus creticus exposed to water deficit. J. Plant Physiol. 166:136–145. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2008.02.011.
- Naumann, J.C., D.R. Young, and J.E. Anderson. 2008. Leaf chlorophyll fluorescence reflectance and physiological response to freshwater and saltwater flooding in the evergreen shrub. Myrica Cerifera. Environ. Exp. Bot. 63:402-409. doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2008.06.004.



- Oliveira, A., P. Baptista, P. Andrade, F. Martins, J. Pereira, B. Silva, and P. Valentao. 2012. Characterization of ficus carica L. cultivars by DNA and secondary metabolite analysis: Is genetic diversity reflected in the chemical composition. Food Res. Int. 49:710-719. doi: 10.1021/jf1031185.
- Paul, M.J., and T.K. Pellny. 2003. Carbon metabolite feedback regulation of leaf photosynthesis and development. J. Exp. Bot. 54:539-547. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erg052.
- Paunov, M., L. Koleva, A. Vassilev, J. Vangronsveld, and V. Goltsev. 2018. Effects of different metals on photosynthesis: Cadmium and zinc affect chlorophyll fluorescence in durum wheat. Int J Mol Sci. 19:1-13. doi: 10.3390/ijms19030787.
- Pramod, N.K., P.G. Sanjay, S.M. Ranjeet, and T. Anupreet. 2015. Estimation of chlorophyll content in young and adult leaves of some selected plants. Univers. J. Environ. Res. Technol. 5(6):306-310.
- Queiroz, R.J.B., J.O. Cazetta, R.J.B. Queiroz, and J.O. Cazetta. 2016. Proline and trehalose in maize seeds germinating under low osmotic potentials. Rev. Bras. Eng. Agríc. 20:22-28. doi: 10.1590/1807-1929/agriambi.v20n1p22-28.
- Rangwala, I., and J.R. Miller. 2012. Climate change in mountains: A review of elevation-dependent warming and its possible causes. Clim. Change. 114:527-547. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2563.
- Ranjbar, A. 2015. Variation characteristics of chlorophyll fluorescence of a typical Eremophyte (Smirnovia Iranica (Sabeti)) during phenological stages in the sand drift desert (Case study: In Kashan Region). Desert J. 21(1):35-41. doi: 10.22059/ jdesert.2016.58316.
- Ranjbar, A. 2017. Comparative study on the effects of water stress and rootstock on photosynthetic function in pistachio trees. J. Nuts 8(2):151-159.
- Ranjbar Fordoei, A., R. Samson, and P. Van Damme. 2006. Chlorophyll fluorescence performance of sweet almond (Prunusdulcis (Miller) in response to salinity stress induced by NaCl. Photosynthetica 44(4):513-522. doi: 10.1007/s11099-011-0017-z.
- Ranjbarfardoei, A., R. Samson, R. Lemur, and P. Van Damme. 2000. Effects of drought stress induced by polyethylene glycol on physiological performance of two wild pistachio species (Pistacia khinjuk and P. mutica). Photosynthetica. 40:165-169. doi: 10.1023/ A:1021377103775.
- Ranjbar-Fordoei, A. 2018. Comparative functioning of photosynthetic apparatus and leaf water potential in (Zygophyllum eurypterum) during phenological phases and summer drought. Desert Ecosyst. Eng. J. 7(1):43. doi: 10.22052/jdee.2017.63258.
- Salehi, A., H. Tasdighi, and M. Gholamhoseini. 2016. Evaluation of proline, chlorophyll, soluble sugar content and uptake of nutrients in the German chamomile (Matricaria chamomilla L.) under drought stress and organic fertilizer treatments. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed 6(10):886-891. doi: 10.1016/j.apjtb.2016.08.009.
- Shi, Z., S. Liu, X. Liu, and M. Centritto. 2006. Altitudinal variation in photosynthetic capacity, diffusional conductance and d13C of butterfly bush (Buddleja davidii) plants growing at high elevations. Physiol Plant. 128:722-731. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.2006.00805.x.
- Vemmos, S., E. Petri, and V. Stournaras. 2013. Seasonal changes in photosynthetic activity and carbohydrate content in leaves and fruit three fig cultivars (Ficus carica L.). Sci. Hortic. 160:198-207. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2013.05.036.
- Wang, C., J. Han, L. Shangguan, G. Yang, E. Kayesh, Y. Zhang, X. Leng, and J. Fang. 2014. Depiction of grapevine phenology by gene expression information and a test of its workability in guiding fertilization. Plant Mol. Biol. Rep. 32(5):1070-1084. doi: 10.1007/s1105-014-0711-7.



Wang, Q., S. Dong, X. Tian, and F. Wang. 2007. Effects of circadian rhythms of fluctuating temperature on growth and biochemical composition of Ulva pertusa. Hydrobiologia. 586:313-319. doi: 10.3923/jfas.2015.533.542.

Wehner, G.G., C.C. Balko, M.M. Enders, M.K. Humbeck, and F.F. Ordon. 2015. Identification of genomic regions involved in tolerance to drought stress and drought stress induced leaf senescence in juvenile barley. BMC Plant Biol. 15(125):2-15. doi: 10.1186/s12870-015-0524-3.

Yuanyuan, M., Z. Yali, L. Jiang, and S. Hongbo. 2009. Roles of plant soluble sugars and their responses to cold stress. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 8(10):2004-2010. doi: 10.5897/AJB09.177.

Zhao, L., P. Wang, C. Chen, H. Liu, and L. Ma. 2014. Effects of drought stress on chlorophyll fluorescence parameters of Lotus corniculatus. Grassl. China 1:18-23.