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# Chapter 6: Mining Frequent Patterns, Association and Correlations: Basic Concepts and Methods 

■ Basic Concepts

- Frequent Itemset Mining Methods

■ Which Patterns Are Interesting?-Pattern
Evaluation Methods

- Summary


## What Is Frequent Pattern Analysis?

- Frequent pattern: a pattern (a set of items, subsequences, substructures, etc.) that occurs frequently in a data set

■ First proposed by Agrawal, Imielinski, and Swami [AIS93] in the context of frequent itemsets and association rule mining

- Motivation: Finding inherent regularities in data
- What products were often purchased together?— Beer and diapers?!
- What are the subsequent purchases after buying a PC?
- What kinds of DNA are sensitive to this new drug?
- Can we automatically classify web documents?
- Applications
- Basket data analysis, cross-marketing, catalog design, sale campaign analysis, Web log (click stream) analysis, and DNA sequence analysis.


## Why Is Freq. Pattern Mining Important?

- Freq. pattern: An intrinsic and important property of datasets
- Foundation for many essential data mining tasks
- Association, correlation, and causality analysis
- Sequential, structural (e.g., sub-graph) patterns
- Pattern analysis in spatiotemporal, multimedia, timeseries, and stream data
- Classification: discriminative, frequent pattern analysis
- Cluster analysis: frequent pattern-based clustering
- Data warehousing: iceberg cube and cube-gradient
- Semantic data compression: fascicles


## Basic Concepts: Frequent Patterns

| Tid | Items bought |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Beer, Nuts, Diaper |
| 20 | Beer, Coffee, Diaper |
| 30 | Beer, Diaper, Eggs |
| 40 | Nuts, Eggs, Milk |
| 50 | Nuts, Coffee, Diaper, Eggs, Milk |



- (relative) support, $s$, is the fraction of transactions that contains $X$ (i.e., the probability that a transaction contains $X$ )
- An itemset $X$ is frequent if $X$ 's support is no less than a minsup threshold


## Basic Concepts: Association Rules

| Tid | Items bought |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Beer, Nuts, Diaper |
| 20 | Beer, Coffee, Diaper |
| 30 | Beer, Diaper, Eggs |
| 40 | Nuts, Eggs, Milk |
| 50 | Nuts, Coffee, Diaper, Eggs, Milk |



Find all the rules $X \rightarrow Y$ with minimum support and confidence

- support, $s$, probability that a transaction contains $\mathrm{X} \cup \mathrm{Y}$
- confidence, $c$, conditional probability that a transaction having $X$ also contains $Y$
Let minsup $=50 \%$, minconf $=50 \%$
Freq. Pat.: Beer:3, Nuts:3, Diaper:4, Eggs:3,
\{Beer, Diaper\}:3
- Association rules: (many more!)
- Beer $\rightarrow$ Diaper (60\%, 100\%)
- Diaper $\rightarrow$ Beer (60\%, 75\%)


# Chapter 5: Mining Frequent Patterns, Association and Correlations: Basic Concepts and Methods 

■ Basic Concepts
■ Frequent Itemset Mining Methods
■ Which Patterns Are Interesting?-Pattern
Evaluation Methods

- Summary


## Association Rule Mining Task

- Given a set of transactions T, the goal of association rule mining is to find all rules having
- support $\geq$ minsup threshold
- confidence $\geq$ minconfthreshold
- Brute-force approach:
- List all possible association rules
- Compute the support and confidence for each rule
- Prune rules that fail the minsup and minconf thresholds
$\Rightarrow$ Computationally prohibitive!


## Mining Association Rules

| TID | Items |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Bread, Milk |
| 2 | Bread, Diaper, Beer, Eggs |
| 3 | Milk, Diaper, Beer, Coke |
| 4 | Bread, Milk, Diaper, Beer |
| 5 | Bread, Milk, Diaper, Coke |

> Example of Rules:
> \{Milk,Diaper\} $\rightarrow$ \{Beer\} (s=0.4, c=0.67)
> \{Milk,Beer\} $\rightarrow$ \{Diaper\} (s=0.4, c=1.0)
> $\{$ Diaper,Beer $\} \rightarrow\{$ Milk $\}(s=0.4, \mathrm{c}=0.67$ )
> $\{$ Beer $\} \rightarrow\{$ Milk,Diaper $\}(s=0.4, c=0.67)$
> \{Diaper\} $\rightarrow$ \{Milk,Beer\} (s=0.4, c=0.5)
> \{Milk\} $\rightarrow$ \{Diaper,Beer\} (s=0.4, c=0.5)

## Observations:

- All the above rules are binary partitions of the same itemset: \{Milk, Diaper, Beer\}
- Rules originating from the same itemset have identical support but can have different confidence
- Thus, we may decouple the support and confidence requirements


## Mining Association Rules

- Two-step approach:

1. Frequent Itemset Generation

- Generate all itemsets whose support $\geq$ minsup

2. Rule Generation

- Generate high confidence rules from each frequent itemset, where each rule is a binary partitioning of a frequent itemset
- Frequent itemset generation is still computationally expensive


## Frequent Itemset Generation



## Frequent Itemset Generation

- Brute-force approach:
- Each itemset in the lattice is a candidate frequent itemset
- Count the support of each candidate by scanning the database

Transactions

List of

| $T I D$ | Items |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Bread, Milk |
| 2 | Bread, Diaper, Beer, Eggs |
| 3 | Milk, Diaper, Beer, Coke |
| 4 | Bread, Milk, Diaper, Beer |
| 5 | Bread, Milk, Diaper, Coke |



- Match each transaction against every candidate
- Complexity ~ O(NMw) => Expensive since $\mathrm{M}=2^{\mathrm{d}}$ !!!


## Computational Complexity

- Given d unique items:
- Total number of itemsets $=2^{\text {d }}$
- Total number of possible association rules:



## Frequent Itemset Generation Strategies

- Reduce the number of candidates (M)
- Complete search: $\mathrm{M}=2^{\mathrm{d}}$
- Use pruning techniques to reduce M
- Reduce the number of transactions (N)
- Reduce size of $N$ as the size of itemset increases
- Used by DHP and vertical-based mining algorithms
- Reduce the number of comparisons (NM)
- Use efficient data structures to store the candidates or transactions
- No need to match every candidate against every transaction


## Scalable Frequent Itemset Mining Methods

- Apriori: A Candidate Generation-and-Test Approach
- Improving the Efficiency of Apriori
- FPGrowth: A Frequent Pattern-Growth Approach
- ECLAT: Frequent Pattern Mining with Vertical

Data Format

## The Downward Closure Property and Scalable Mining Methods

- Scalable mining methods: Three major approaches
- Apriori (Agrawal \& Srikant@VLDB’94)
- Freq. pattern growth (FPgrowth-Han, Pei \& Yin @SIGMOD’00)
- Vertical data format approach (Charm—Zaki \& Hsiao @SDM'02)
- The downward closure property of frequent patterns
- Any subset of a frequent itemset must be frequent
- If \{beer, diaper, nuts\} is frequent, so is \{beer, diaper\}
- i.e., every transaction having \{beer, diaper, nuts\} also contains \{beer, diaper\}


## Illustrating Apriori Principle

Found to be Infrequent


## Apriori: A Candidate Generation \& Test Approach

- Apriori pruning principle: If there is any itemset which is infrequent, its superset should not be generated/tested! (Agrawal \& Srikant @VLDB'94, Mannila, et al. @ KDD' 94)
- Method:
- Initially, scan DB once to get frequent 1-itemset
- Generate length ( $\mathrm{k}+1$ ) candidate itemsets from length k frequent itemsets
- Test the candidates against DB
- Terminate when no frequent or candidate set can be generated


## The Apriori Algorithm—An Example



## The Apriori Algorithm (Pseudo-Code)

$C_{k}$ : Candidate itemset of size k
$L_{k}$ : frequent itemset of size $k$
$L_{1}=\{$ frequent items $\} ;$
for ( $k=1 ; L_{k}!=\varnothing ; k++$ ) do begin
$C_{k+1}=$ candidates generated from $L_{k i}$
for each transaction $t$ in database do increment the count of all candidates in $C_{k+1}$ that are contained in $t$
$L_{k+1}=$ candidates in $C_{k+1}$ with minsup
end
return $\cup_{k} L_{k} ;$

## Implementation of Apriori

- How to generate candidates?
- Step 1: self-joining $L_{k}$
- Step 2: pruning
- Example of Candidate-generation
- $L_{3}=\{a b c, a b d, a c d, a c e, b c d\}$
- Self-joining: $L_{3}{ }^{*} L_{3}$
- abcd from abc and abd
- acde from acd and ace
- Pruning:
- acde is removed because ade is not in $L_{3}$
- $C_{4}=\{a b c d\}$


## Scalable Frequent Itemset Mining Methods

- Apriori: A Candidate Generation-and-Test Approach
- Improving the Efficiency of Apriori

- FPGrowth: A Frequent Pattern-Growth Approach
- ECLAT: Frequent Pattern Mining with Vertical Data Format
- Mining Close Frequent Patterns and Maxpatterns


## Further Improvement of the Apriori Method

- Major computational challenges
- Multiple scans of transaction database
- Huge number of candidates
- Tedious workload of support counting for candidates
- Improving Apriori: general ideas
- Reduce passes of transaction database scans
- Shrink number of candidates
- Facilitate support counting of candidates


## Reducing Number of Comparisons

- Candidate counting:
- Scan the database of transactions to determine the support of each candidate itemset
- To reduce the number of comparisons, store the candidates in a hash structure
- Instead of matching each transaction against every candidate, match it against candidates contained in the hashed buckets

Transactions
Hash Structure


Buckets

## How to Count Supports of Candidates?

- Why counting supports of candidates a problem?
- The total number of candidates can be very huge
- One transaction may contain many candidates
- Method:
- Candidate itemsets are stored in a hash-tree
- Leafnode of hash-tree contains a list of itemsets and counts
- Interior node contains a hash table
- Subset function: finds all the candidates contained in a transaction


## Generate Hash Tree

Suppose you have 15 candidate itemsets of length 3:
$\{14$ 5\}, \{1 2 4\}, \{4 5 7\}, \{1 2 5\}, \{4 5 8\}, \{1 5 9\}, \{1 3 6\}, \{2 3 4\}, \{5 67$\},\{34$ 5\}, \{3 5 6\}, \{3 5 7\}, \{6 8 9\}, \{3 6 7\}, \{3 6 8\}
You need:

- Hash function
- Max leaf size: max number of itemsets stored in a leaf node (if number of candidate itemsets exceeds max leaf size, split the node)



## Generate Hash Tree

\{1 4 5\}, \{1 2 4\}, \{4 5 7\}, \{1 2 5\}, \{4 5 8\}, \{1 5 9\}, \{1 36$\}$, \{2 34$\}$, \{5 67$\},\{34$ 5\},
\{3 5 6\}, \{3 5 7\}, \{6 89 \}, \{3 67$\},\{36$ 8\}


## Association Rule Discovery: Hash tree



## Association Rule Discovery: Hash tree



## Association Rule Discovery: Hash tree

Hash Function


Hash on
3,6 or 9


## Subset Operation

Given a transaction $t$, what are the possible subsets of size 3 ?


## Subset Operation Using Hash Tree



## Subset Operation Using Hash Tree



## Subset Operation Using Hash Tree



## Improving the Efficiency of Apriori

- Other Methods (Projects for Students)
- Partition: Scan Database Only Twice
- A. Savasere, E. Omiecinski and S. Navathe, VLDB'95
- DHP: Reduce the Number of Candidates
- DHP: Direct Hashing and Pruning
- J. Park, M. Chen, and P. Yu. An effective hashbased algorithm for mining association rules. SIGMOD'95
- DIC: Reduce Number of Scans
- DIC: Dynamic itemset counting
- H. Toivonen. Sampling large databases for association rules. In $V L D B^{\prime} 96$


## Rule Generation from Frequent Itemsets

- Strong association rules $\rightarrow$ minsup and minconf
- Conf. $(A \Rightarrow B)=P(B \mid A)=\frac{\text { support }(A \cup B)}{\text { Support }(A)}$
- Association rules can be generated
- For each frequent itemset $l$, generate all nonempty subsets of $l$
- For every nonempty subset $s$, output rule " $s \Rightarrow(l-s)$ if $\frac{\text { support }(l)}{\text { Support (s) }} \geq$ minconf
- Example
- If $\{A, B, C, D\}$ is a frequent itemset, candidate rules:
- $\mathrm{ABC} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{ABD} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{ACD} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{BCD} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{BCD}, \mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{ACD}$, $\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{ABD}, \mathrm{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{ABC}, \mathrm{AB} \rightarrow \mathrm{CD}, \mathrm{AC} \rightarrow \mathrm{BD}, \mathrm{AD} \rightarrow \mathrm{BC}, \mathrm{BC}$ $\rightarrow A D, B D \rightarrow A C, C D \rightarrow A B$
- $|l|=\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{n}^{2}-2$ candidate association rules (ignoring $\mathrm{L} \rightarrow$ $\varnothing$ and $\varnothing \rightarrow \mathrm{L}$ ) ?


## Rule Generation from Frequent Itemsets

- How to efficiently generate rules from frequent itemsets?
- In general, confidence does not have an antimonotone property
- conf(ABC $\rightarrow$ D) can be larger or smaller than conf(AB $\rightarrow$ D)
- But confidence of rules generated from the same itemset has an anti-monotone property
- e.g., $L=\{A, B, C, D\}$ :
- conf( $\mathrm{ABC} \rightarrow \mathrm{D}) \geq \operatorname{conf}(\mathrm{AB} \rightarrow \mathrm{CD}) \geq \operatorname{conf}(A \rightarrow B C D)$


## Rule Generation

Candidate rule is generated by merging two rules that share the same prefix in the rule antecedent

- join(CD $\rightarrow A B, B D \rightarrow A C)$ would produce the candidate rule $D \rightarrow A B C$
- Prune rule $D \rightarrow A B C$ if its subset $A D \rightarrow B C$ does not have high confidence



## Rule Pruning



## Rule Generation Algorithm

Moving items from the antecedent to the consequent never changes support, and never increases confidence

Homework \#1<br>Dead time: 96/2/09

Email: Vahidipour@kashanu.ac.ir

## Scalable Frequent Itemset Mining Methods

- Projects for Students
- FPGrowth: A Frequent Pattern-Growth Approach
- ECLAT: Frequent Pattern Mining with Vertical Data Format
- Mining Close Frequent Patterns and Maxpatterns

